

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-55796-2018

Date of Decision: 14.05.2019

Suraj Mal

.. Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Munish Mittal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sharad Kumar Yadav, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. R.S. Budhwar, Advocate
for the complainant.

...

Inderjit Singh, J. (Oral)

Petitioner Suraj Mal has filed this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.303 dated 06.07.2018, registered at Police Station Butana, District Karnal, under Sections 406, 420 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code and Section 24 of the Immigration Act added vide order dated 14.03.2019.

Notice of motion was issued.

Learned State counsel has appeared on behalf of the respondent-State and contested this petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties as well as learned State counsel and have gone through the record.

As per the complainant version, the present petitioner Suraj Mal is his relative and at his inducement, he paid ₹25 lacs to the present petitioner and other co-accused for sending him to abroad. As per the allegation in the FIR, a forged visa of Canada was also sent on the whatsapp

of the complainant.

Learned counsel for the complainant states that the petitioner has only returned ₹10 lacs out of ₹25 lacs.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case and in view of nature and gravity of the offence and the fact that the petitioner is required for custodial interrogation, I do not find it a fit case where the petitioner is entitled to the benefit of anticipatory bail.

Therefore, finding no merit in the present petition, the same is dismissed.

14.05.2019
Jasmine Kaur

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No