

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

123

Date of Decision : 10.4.2019

CRM-M-57392-2018

Nitish Sehgal

..Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab and another

....Respondents

CRM-M-62258-2018

Nitish Sehgal

..Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab and another

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present: Mr.M.S.Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Amarjit Kaur Khurana, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Ajay Kaushik, Advocate
for the respondent No.2-complainant.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This common order shall disposed of abovementioned two
petitiones as the facts involved therein are same.

These two petitiones have been filed for quashing of the order
dated 12.11.2018 whereby the application filed by the petitioner for
recording the voice of PW Rajni Bala which is to be compared with the

voice in the pendrive produced in the Court has been dismissed in FIR No. 45 dated 19.2.2018 under Sections 326-A IPC, at P.S. City Ferozepur.

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 states that PW-Rajni Bala is not required to give her testimony as the case is well proved against the petitioner without her testimony also.

Counsel for the petitioner states that case is not at all proved but in case PW-Rajni Bala is not to be examined as witness he does not press these petitions.

Resultantly, both the petitions stand disposed of.

Since the main cases have been decided, the pending criminal miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

10.4.2019
anuradha

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned - Yes/No

Whether reportable - Yes/No