

**111 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-32520-2019(O&M)
Date of decision-08.11.2019**

Veeru Singh

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Kuldip Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus directing respondent No.2 to conclude the inquiries marked to respondent No.5 on 15.12.2016 (Annexure P-2) and 02.04.2019 (Annexure P-7) regarding complaint/application dated 02.03.2016 (Annexure P-1) and 02.03.2019 (Annexure P-6) made by the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage, he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2-Director, Department of Rural Development and Panchayats, Mohali, SAS Nagar, to consider and decide the representation dated 02.03.2016 (Annexure P-1) expeditiously.

A complete set of paper book has been handed over to Ms. Anju Arora, Addl. A.G., Punjab in the Court today.

Heard.

In view of the above, without advertng to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to No.2-Director, Department of Rural Development and Panchayats, Mohali, SAS Nagar, to consider and decide the representation dated 02.03.2016 (Annexure P-1) in accordance with law within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the judgment. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the request made by the petitioner is admissible, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the request made by the petitioner is not admissible, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

08.11.2019*ps-I***(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :

Yes

No

Whether Reportable :

Yes

No