

CWP-32594-2019 (O&M)

170

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-32594-2019 (O&M)
Date of decision : 08.11.2019**

Sukhwinder Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. Kamal Deep Sehra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (ORAL)

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Addl. A.G., Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent(s)/State.

Grievance of petitioner, in the present writ petition, is that against the orders dated 13.11.2018 (Annexure P-2) and 17.09.2015 (Annexure P-1), petitioner has preferred a revision petition, as per Punjab Police Rules, as applicable to Haryana (hereinafter called 'the Rules'), before the Director General of Police, Haryana/respondent No.2, but no action has been taken so far.

Without going into the merits and demerits of the claim of the petitioner, I am of the view that once the petitioner has already availed the remedy, his grievance/claim cannot remain unaddressed and there has to be adjudication by the Authorities. Accordingly, I deem it appropriate to

CWP-32594-2019 (O&M)

dispose of the present writ petition with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the revision petition after affording the opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, in accordance with law, failing which, respondent No2 shall be liable to pay costs of ₹25,000/- to petitioner.

This condition of imposing costs is only to prevent petitioner to run from pillar to post and avail remedy of contempt in case of non-compliance of directions issued by this Court.

The writ petition stands disposed of with the above direction.

08.11.2019

Yogesh Sharma

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned **Yes/ No**

Whether Reportable **Yes/ No**