

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.33358 of 2019
Date of Decision : 18.11.2019.

Mahender Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S.Walia

Present: Mr. Saurabh Dalal, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S.WALIA, J. (Oral)

1. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners states that the petitioner has submitted representation Annexure P/4 dated 18.09.2019 in respect of grievance which is the subject matter of the writ petition but the same has not been decided till date by respondent No.2 and that in the circumstances the petitioner would be satisfied if at this stage the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the claim as made in representation Annexure P/4 dated 18.09.2019, in a time bound manner.

2. In view of the innocuous nature of the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the claim as made in representation Annexure P/4 dated 18.09.2019, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within three weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

**(B.S.WALIA)
JUDGE**

18.11.2019.

rajesh.k.khurana

Whether speaking/reasoned

: Yes/No

Whether reportable

: Yes/No