

**117 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-32494-2019

Date of decision: 8.11.2019

Vikalpreet Kaur and another

.....Petitioners.

vs.

The State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: - HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR

Present: - Petitioners in person with Mr. Bikramjit Singh Baath, Advocate
for the petitioners.

.....

Sanjay Kumar, J. (ORAL)

Heard.

Pleadings on record have been perused.

As this Court does not propose to go into the merits of the
matter, there is no necessity to put the unofficial respondents on notice or
afford them an opportunity of hearing.

In the light of the law laid down by the Supreme Court in
Nandakumar and another versus State of Kerala and others, 2018 AIR
(SC) 2254, in the context of live-in relationships, the writ petition is disposed
of with a direction to respondent No. 2- the Senior Superintendent of Police,
Gurdaspur, District Gurdaspur, Punjab, that in case any representation is
filed seeking protection of life and liberty, the contents thereof be duly
verified and if necessary, requisite steps be taken strictly in accordance
with law for grant of protection of life and liberty to the petitioners.

(SANJAY KUMAR)
JUDGE

8.11.2019

preeti

whether speaking/non speaking

yes/no

whether reportable/non reportable

yes/no