

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

222)

Crl. Misc. No.M-55618 of 2019(O&M)

Date of Decision: 07.12.2019

Seema Rani

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Jashandeep Singh Sandhu, Advocate,
for the petitioner.
Mr. B.S. Sewak, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

Crl. Misc. No.M-55618 of 2019

By this petition, filed under the provisions of Section 439 of the Cr.P.C., the petitioner seeks the concession of 'regular bail'.

The petitioner having been in custody for the past 1 year and 2 months, with only 2 prosecution witnesses out of 13 having been examined and therefore the trial not likely to conclude in the immediate future, with no other criminal cases shown to be registered against the petitioner, without making any comment on the actual merits of the case for or against the petitioner, I consider it appropriate to admit the petitioner to bail during the pendency of the trial.

Consequently, this petition is allowed. The petitioner would be enlarged on bail, upon his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

CRM no.28632 of 2019 & CRM no.32351 of 2019

Since the main petition itself has been decided, this application

seeking advancement of the date of hearing in the accompanying petition
has been rendered infructuous and is disposed of as such.

Similarly CRM no.32351 of 2019, seeking interim bail to the
petitioner has also been rendered infructuous and is disposed of as such.

07.12.2019
vcgarg

(Amol Rattan Singh)
Judge

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes
Whether reportable: No