

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

CR-7132-2019 (O&M)

Date of decision: 06.12.2019

Bhagwan Dass

...Petitioner

Versus

Naveen Kapoor and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN**

Present: Ms. Meenakshi Singh, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

\*\*\*\*

**H.S. MADAAN, J. (Oral)**

Heard.

A perusal of the impugned order goes to show that revisionist/defendant No.2 had earlier been proceeded against *ex parte* in the trial Court on 30.03.2016. However, on an application having been filed, ex parte proceedings qua him was set aside on 07.11.2015, subject to payment of costs of Rs.500/- to be deposited in the District Legal Services Authority but that order was not complied with. Written statement on behalf of such defendant was also not filed. Later on, some Advocate put in appearance on behalf of such defendant and defendant No.2 was allowed to join proceedings, after further payment of costs of Rs.500/- and the costs imposed earlier to the tune of Rs.500/- also but neither the costs were deposited nor written statement was filed. Therefore, defence of defendant No.2 was struck off. Later

on, on 21.07.2019 itself, costs of Rs.500/- was deposited by defendant No.2 but written statement was not filed. That order which was passed on 21.07.2016 is now sought to be challenged after expiry of period of more than three years. Not only the revision is time barred but it is without merit also. The order under challenge does not suffer from any illegality or infirmity, which might have called for interference by this Court, while exercising revisional jurisdiction. Thus, finding no merit in the revision petition, the same stands dismissed.

06.12.2019

*sumit.k*

**(H.S. MADAAN)**  
**JUDGE**

|                             |     |    |
|-----------------------------|-----|----|
| Whether speaking/reasoned : | Yes | No |
| Whether Reportable :        | Yes | No |