

**208-A IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.55642 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 22.05.2019**

Ranjeev Kumar & anr.

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present: Mr. S.P.Khera , Advocate for
Mr. L.M.Gulati, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms.Amarjit Kaur Khurana, DAG, Punjab.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This is a petition for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioners under Section 438 Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.35 dated 05.05.2016 registered under Sections 307/382/341/323/427/506/148/149 IPC at Police Station City Raikot, District Ludhiana.

On 17.12.2018 the following order was passed:-

“It has been submitted that the present FIR is the counter blast of FIR No.34 dated 05.05.2016, registered under Section 11 of the Prevention of Animal to Cruelty Act and Sections 4A, 4B and 8 of the Cow Slaughter Act and Section 120-B IPC, at Police Station City Raikot, District Ludhiana.

It has also been submitted that the offence under Section 307 IPC is not attributed to the present petitioners rather it has been attributed to co-accused Ranjit Kumar. It has been further submitted that co-accused Raghunandan God &

Varinder Singh and Rajnish Kumar & Anuj Kumar have already been granted anticipatory bail by this court vide orders dated 16.05.2017 and 16.01.2018 in CRM M-9674-2017 and CRM M-17619-2017 respectively.

Notice of motion for 12.02.2019.

In the meanwhile, the petitioners are directed to surrender before the Arresting/Investigating Officer within seven days. On doing so, they shall be released on interim bail, subject to their furnishing bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, they shall abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. failing which they shall lose the benefit of interim bail allowed to them.

To be heard alongwith CRM-M-55630-2018.”

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Gurmeet Singh accepts the fact that the petitioners have joined the investigation and are no more required for custodial interrogation.

In these circumstances, I do not deem it appropriate to deny anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

Resultantly, the petition is allowed. The interim order dated 17.12.2018 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending criminal miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

22.05.2019

pooja sharma-I

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable :

Yes/No
Yes/No