

206-2

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-55590 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of decision: September 25, 2019

Parminder Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Amandeep Chhabra, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. V.G. Jauhar, Senior DAG Punjab.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr. P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail to petitioner, in FIR No.138 dated 30.06.2018, under Sections 406, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471, 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC'), registered at Police Station Sohana, District SAS Nagar (Mohali).

On 15.12.2018, while issuing notice of motion, this Court has passed the following order:-

“Reliance is placed upon order dated 4.10.2018 passed in CRMM-43592-2018 whereby Kuldeep Singh @ Pinka has been granted interim bail. The allegations against said Kuldeep Singh @ Pinka are identical to those against the petitioner.

Notice of motion for 13.2.2019.

In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to join investigation with the Investigating Officer on 22.12.2018 at 10.00 a.m. and cooperate therewith. In the event of his

arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer/SHO concerned subject to his compliance of the conditions enshrined under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned State counsel, on instructions from police official present in Court to assist him, has stated that after investigation in the matter, cancellation report is prepared on 23.09.2019 and the same is likely to be submitted before the Court of competent jurisdiction very shortly.

In view of the above, order dated 15.12.2018 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute subject to the provisions of Section 438(2) Cr. P.C.

Petition stands disposed off, accordingly.

However, it is made clear that the petitioner shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.

The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

September 25, 2019

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No