

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 32368 of 2019
Date of decision : 07.11.2019

Ramesh Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. Vikas Lochab, Advocate for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that petitioner was senior to respondents No. 4 and 5 but his pay was lesser than the respondents No. 4 and 5 and, hence, petitioner is entitled for stepping up of his pay equivalent to his juniors i.e. respondents No. 4 and 5. The prayer of the petitioner in the present writ petition is for issuing directions to the respondents for stepping up of his pay equivalent to his juniors.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that for the relief, which has been claimed in the present writ petition, petitioner has served the respondents with a legal notice dated 01.07.2019 (Annexure P-1), which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to respondent No. 3-General Manager, Haryana Roadways, Sonapat to decide the said

legal notice.

In view of the request made, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case and the claim being made by the petitioner, respondent No. 3-General Manager, Haryana Roadways, Sonapat is directed to decide the legal notice dated 01.07.2019 (Annexure P-1) by passing a speaking order within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Writ petition stands disposed of accordingly.

November 07, 2019
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking? *Yes/No*
Whether reportable? *Yes/No*