

209

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP-3357-2017
Date of decision: 16.10.2019

PRABHASH JAIN

.. PETITIONER

VERSUS

SH SUDHIR RAJPAL IAS & ORS

.. RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Pardeep Kumar Solath, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep S. Mann, Senior DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sunil Narang, Advocate
for respondent No. 6.

Mr. Praveen Gupta, Advocate
for respondents No. 7 to 10.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for respondent No. 6 has filed *Vakalatnama* on behalf of respondent No. 6 same is taken on record. He submits that respondent No. 6 was not party in the writ petition and there is no need to file the reply.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that during the pendency of the writ petition, operation of the order appointing the Administrator of Jain Sabha (Regd.), Rohtak was stayed.

There is no dispute on the fact that after passing of the order, the Administrator i.e. Sub Divisional Magistrate, Rohtak has relinquished the charge.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that liberty be granted to the petitioner to raise the surviving grievances in the pending writ petition including the grievance with regard to the handing over of the records by the Administrator.

Disposed of with liberty as prayed for.

Rule issued against the respondents is discharged.

16th October, 2019
shabha

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*