IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

205) CRM-M-47426-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 26.11.2019

Tejinder Singh ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab …Respondent

2) CRM-M-49370-2019 (O&M)

Danial ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab …Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Ankur Bansal, Advocate, for the petitioner
in CRM-M-47426 of 2019.
Mr. Sanjeev K. Virk, Advocate
for the petitioner in CRM-M-49370 of 2019.
Mr. B.S. Sewak, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab.

***

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

Learned State counsel on instructions from the police official who is present in Court to assist him, submits that pursuant to the order of this court dated 06.11.2019 and 21.11.2019, the petitioners in both the petitions have joined investigation and their custodial interrogation is not required presently.

In view of the above, the orders dated 06.11.2019 and 21.11.2019, granting interim bail to the petitioners in both the petition, are made absolute on the same terms and conditions.

However, the aforesaid order will not be treated to be an observation of this court on the merits of the case, but only in view of the

VIKAS CHANDER
2019.11.27 12:43
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

fact that the investigating agency itself has stated that it does not require custodial interrogation of the petitioner.

Naturally, the complainant would be at liberty to avail of his legal remedy as regards any grievance, including the investigation process.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)

JUDGE

26.11.2019
vcgarg

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes
Whether reportable: No

VIKAS CHANDER
2019.11.27 12:43
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document