

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM M-48062 of 2019
Date of Decision: December 10, 2019**

Palwinder Singh @ SunnyPetitioner

Versus

State of Punjab Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present : Ms. Nishi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Sharda, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (Oral)

The present case by way of FIR No. 66 dated 27.05.2019 under Sections 376, 420 and 506 IPC against petitioner Palwinder Singh @ Sunny has been got registered by unmarried lady aged 32 years working as Assistant Professor at Lovely Professional University alleging that on 07.02.2018, she started chatting with the petitioner on social media and, thereafter, both of them started meeting each other. Accused/petitioner assured the complainant that he would marry her and, in pursuance of which, the accused had been defiling her on the pretext of marriage. Subsequently, when the accused

backed out of the same, the present case was got registered.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, *inter alia*, contends that both the petitioner and the complainant are grown up mature persons. The complainant happens to be an Assistant Professor in a university and has fallen into this relationship and sought to show to this Court the intimate photographs of the parties to illustrate the consensual relationship between them.

Mr. Pawan Sharda, Sr. DAG, Punjab assisted by SI Nauniyal Singh though does not dispute the facts but has opposed the grant of the bail on the ground of heinousness of the offence and seriousness of the allegations.

Going through the submissions, admittedly, the complainant is a mature educated professor. The photographs shown to the Court (which have not been taken on record to protect the privacy of the complainant) are itself illustrative of this relationship. There is no medical evidence to corroborate the allegations. The petitioner is behind the bars for the long time and culpability, if any, of the petitioner shall be determined at the trial which is not likely to be concluded in the near future. This Court is of the opinion that it is a fit case for grant of bail. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail to the satisfaction of Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

However, it is made clear that anything observed

herein shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case.

Disposed off.

December 10, 2019
amit rana

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No