

107 (3 cases)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CM No.5905-CII of 2017 in/and
FAO No.1774 of 2017
Date of Decision : 06.03.2019.

Rameshwar @ Ramesh Chand

...Appellant

Versus

Santosh and others

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.S.WALIA

Present: None for the appellants.

Mr. Sandeep Verma, Advocate for respondent Nos.1 to 3.

B.S.WALIA, J. (Oral)

1. Despite the case having been called out twice, none has put in appearance on behalf of the appellants nor has any request for passover or adjournment been made.

2. It needs noticing here that none had put in appearance on behalf of the appellants even on 20.02.2019 despite intimation having been sent by the Registry through email to the learned counsel for the appellants.

While taking note of the aforementioned position, the case was adjourned to 25.02.2019, with a direction to the Registry to inform learned counsel for the parties of the listing of the case to ensure representation on the date fixed, failing which, it would be presumed that the appellants were not interested in pursuing the appeal.

3. On 25.2.2019, request was made for adjournment on behalf of learned counsel for the appellants. Adjournment was granted subject to

payment of costs of ₹1000/- in High Court Advocate's Welfare Fund.

Office report reveals that costs of ₹1000/- have not been deposited. Besides none is present on behalf of the appellants.

4. In the circumstances, it is apparent that the appellants are not interested in pursuing the appeal.

5. Dismissed in default.

(B.S.WALIA)
JUDGE

06.03.2019

rajesh.k.khurana

Whether speaking/reasoned

: Yes/No

Whether reportable

: Yes/No