

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COCP No. 3296 of 2017

Date of Decision: 17.09.2019

The Gurgaon Scheduled Caste and Vimukt Jaties Cooperative Agr.
.....Petitioner

Versus

Vikas Yadav and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Rajneesh Chadwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep S.Mann, Sr. DAG Haryana.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J.(oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that though as per amended reply, the respondents has pleaded that representation dated 28.9.2016 filed by the petitioner has been decided on 9.5.2018, but the order has not been placed on record.

It would be pertinent to note that the petitioner being aggrieved of various resolutions, approached this Court by way of a writ petition. The said writ petition was disposed of by relegating the petitioner to equally efficacious alternative remedy before the appropriate forum. Further, while disposing of the writ, it was stated that in case, the petitioner approaches the respondent-authority, the matter shall be heard and decided by passing an appropriate order.

Learned counsel for respondents states that the representation has already been decided vide order dated 9.5.2018. He further states that the order would be communicated to the petitioner within three weeks from today.

In view of the above statement, no further orders are required to

be passed in the contempt petition.

The contempt petition is disposed of as infructuous.

However, the petitioner would be at liberty to revive the contempt petition in case the order dated 9.5.2018 is not supplied to the petitioner within three weeks from today. Needless to add that in case the petitioner is aggrieved of the said order, liberty is granted to the petitioner to avail remedy in accordance with law.

17.09.2019
reema

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No