

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(116)

CWP-32273-2019

Date of Decision: November 07, 2019

Amrit Versa

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Gopal Singh Nahel, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the petitioner has not been given the benefit of total length of service while computing the pension and as the petitioner had rendered more than 33 years of service, petitioner was entitled for basic pension @ Rs.9710/- whereas the petitioner has been given the basic pension of Rs.8092/- on the date when she retired on 31.10.2008.

The prayer of the petitioner is for directing the respondents to grant the petitioner the full pension by taking into consideration total length of service rendered by the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that for the relief which has been claimed in the present writ petition, the petitioner has submitted a representation dated 12.03.2019 (Annexure P-2) to the respondents, which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said representation by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by her in

the representation dated 12.03.2019 (Annexure P-2), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the representation dated 12.03.2019 (Annexure P-2), within a period of three months from the receipt of certified copy of this order.

November 07, 2019
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No