

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.32251 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision:18.11.2019

Randhir Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr.Anshul Mangla, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr.Kapil Bansal, DAG, Haryana

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (Oral)

1. This petition has been filed for grant of emergency parole to attend the “Bhat Ceremony” on the occasion of marriage of sister’s son of the petitioner.

2. Written statement has been filed in Court today which is taken on record.

3. The petitioner has been convicted in FIR No.69 dated 1.5.2013 registered at P.S.Radaur, District Yamunanagar under Sections 302, 148, 149, 120-B IPC and Sections 25 and 27 of the Arms Act.

4. The wedding of the sister’s son of the petitioner is fixed for 2.12.2019. Mr.Bansal points out to the written statement wherein it is revealed that the petitioner has already taken parole twice this year and is disentitled to seek parole any further under the Haryana Good Conduct Prisoner’s (Temporary Release) Act, 1988 for third time in a year. In normal circumstances, the request for parole would have been declined, but treating

directed to consider granting furlough to the petitioner in place of parole to achieve the object of the exercise. The aforesaid Act permits parole to a convict to attend marriage of sister's son as per Section 3 (1)(b). On a consideration of the matter, this Court finds sufficient grounds to grant furlough in place of parole on the oral request of the learned counsel for the petitioner when confronted with the objection of the State which does not permit grant of parole third time in one year. Taking into account the aforesaid relevant consideration, it is deemed appropriate to grant the benefit of furlough to the petitioner for a period of 10 days from the date of release of the petitioner.

5. In view of the above, this petition is allowed. The petitioner is granted furlough and be released on 30.11.2019 for a period of 10 days from the date of release of the petitioner to the satisfaction of the District Magistrate concerned who is further directed to impose such conditions as may be required to secure the presence of the petitioner in jail before the period of parole is over and done with.

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

18.11.2019
MFK

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No