

**115 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR-7076-2019

Date of decision : 07.11.2019

Mahender Kumar Aggarwal

..... Petitioner

versus

Smt.Poonam Devi and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MS.JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr.Abhimanyu Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

RITU BAHRI, J. (ORAL)

The present revision petition has been filed against the order dated 19.08.2019(Annexure P-5) passed by learned Civil Judge(Jr.Division), Rewari whereby the application of the plaintiffs for producing written partition dated 20.03.1996 has been allowed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner while referring to the substitute for sub rule (1) Rule (1) of Order XIII CPC contends that even photostat copy of a document can be produced by a party to a litigation. Reference can be made to the reply Annexure P-4 filed by the petitioner for producing the written partition dated 20.03.1996. In para 2 of the preliminary objections it is specifically stated that one attested/duplicate copy was given to all the parties.

Keeping in view the stand taken by the petitioner in reply Annexure P-4 the order dated 19.08.2019 is being modified to the extent that petitioner will produce the attested photocopy of the written partition before the trial Court on the next date of hearing.

Disposed of.

**(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE**

07.11.2019

sunita

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No