

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND  
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.32467 of 2019 (O&M)

Date of Decision.08.11.2019

Roshani Devi

...Petitioner

Vs

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

Present: Mr. Rajinder Singh Nain, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

**CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL**

-.-

**AMIT RAWAL J. (ORAL)**

Prayer in the writ petition is for issuing direction to respondents to regularize services of petitioner w.e.f. 27.01.1999/01.02.1999 to regularize services of petitioner under policy dated 11.11.2003/27.02.2004 (Annexure P-6 & P-7) from the date similarly situated employees to the petitioner have been regularized by way of policy dated 29.07.2011 (Annexure P-8) with direction to release all consequential benefits arising therefrom.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he will be satisfied if direction is issued to respondent No.2 to consider and decide legal notice dated 18.05.2019 (Annexure P-12) in terms of Annexure P-13 within a stipulated period.

Without going into the merits and demerits of the claim of the petitioner, I deem it appropriate to direct respondent No.2 to consider and decide legal notice dated 18.05.2019 (Annexure P-12) in terms of Annexure P-13 within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified

copy of this order and pass a speaking order thereon, failing which respondent No.2 shall be liable to pay costs of ₹25,000/- to the petitioner.

This condition of imposing costs is only to prevent petitioner running from pillar to post and avail remedy of contempt in case of non-compliance of directions issued by this Court.

The writ petition stands disposed of with the above direction.

**(AMIT RAWAL)**  
**JUDGE**

**November 08, 2019**

Pankaj\*

Whether speaking/reasoned      Yes

Whether reportable                  No