

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

143

CWP No.32147 of 2019

Date of Decision : 22.11.2019

Arun Chaudhary

...Petitioner

v/s

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present: Mr. R.K. Malik, Senior Advocate with
Mr. D.S. Mann, Advocate
for the petitioner.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Learned Senior Counsel contends that the services of the petitioner were terminated on account of conviction under Section 306/34 IPC vide judgment dated 06.03.2014 but on appeal the petitioner was acquitted vide judgment (Annexure P-3) dated 19.12.2015, whereupon the petitioner submitted representations (Annexure P-4) dated 04.01.2016 (Annexure P-5) dated 10.04.2016 and Annexure P-6 dated 30.09.2019, praying for being taken back in service in view of his acquittal but instead of deciding the same, the competent authority i.e. the General Manager/respondent No.3 has forwarded the representations to higher officers.

[2] Learned Senior Counsel states that the petitioner would be satisfied, if the writ petition is disposed of by directing the competent authority to consider and decide the claim made in the representations, in accordance with law and in a time bound manner by taking into account the decision of a

Division Bench of this Court in case titled as '**Shashi Kumar** versus **Uttri Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam and Another**', 2005(1) SLR 659.

[3] Without commenting upon the merits of the case, the writ petition is ***disposed of*** by directing respondent No.3 to consider and decide the representations (Annexure P-4, P-5 & P-6) dated 04.01.2016, 10.04.2016 and 30.09.2019, in accordance with law and the decision relied upon in '**Shashi Kumar's** case (*supra*), in case the same is applicable within 02 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

November 22, 2019
'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/reasoned	: Yes/No
Whether reportable	: Yes/No