

211

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**COCP No.2057 of 2017
Date of Decision: 19.07.2019**

Inderjit Singh through LRs

Petitioner

Versus

Sanjay Mitra, IAS, Secretary, Government of India, Ministry of
Defence, New Delhi and others

Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. S.K. Bawa, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anil Chawla, Advocate
for the respondents.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

The present contempt petition has been filed pleading wilful disobedience of order dated 21.11.2016 passed by this Court in CWP No. 20904 of 2013.

The operational part of the order is reproduced below:-

“12. In view of the above discussion, Annexure P7 dated 27.06.2013 is set aside. The respondents are directed to reconsider the claim of the petitioner with reference to Extraordinary Pension Rules, 1939 read with the fact that respondents have admitted in para 3 in the communication dated 27.06.2013 and extend disability pension to the petitioner. However, the petitioner is entitled to disability pension only prior to 38 months of filing of writ petition no.

CWP No. 25906 of 2012. Monetary benefits be granted within a period of 4 months from today. It is made clear that petitioner is not entitled to interest on belated claim.”

The respondents have filed reply today in the Court alongwith the fresh calculation of disability pension, same is taken on record. Copy of reply is handed over to learned counsel for the petitioner.

In view of reply filed, learned counsel for the petitioner states that no grievance survives for pursuing the contempt petition. Liberty is sought to avail remedies in accordance with law, if aggrieved of calculation.

The contempt petition is disposed of as infructuous with liberty as prayed for.

The rule issued against the respondents is discharged.

**[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE**

July 19, 2019

pankaj baweja

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes / No
2. Whether reportable	:	Yes / No