

115

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-1030-2019

Date of decision-02.11.2019

Somin

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. A.K.Biriwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J. (Oral)

Petitioner-Somin has filed this petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of a writ in the nature of habeas corpus for release of the alleged detenues (9 in number) mentioned in para 4 of the petition, who are alleged to be in the illegal custody of private respondents.

During the course of hearing, it is not disputed by learned counsel for the petitioner that the alleged detenues entered into a contract with respondent Nos.4 and 5 for manufacturing bricks at the brick kiln. He is unable to substantiate his argument that the alleged detenues are in illegal custody of respondent Nos.4 and 5 particularly when applicant was also engaged by respondent Nos.4 and 5 for the said purpose of manufacturing bricks.

The petitioner claims to be near relative/friend of the alleged detenues. However, the pleadings as contained in the petition do not inspire confidence, therefore, this Court is not inclined to exercise the extra ordinary

writ jurisdiction under Article 226 Constitution of India.

Dismissed.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

02.11.2019
vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No