

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 32166 of 2019
Date of Decision: 14.11.2019**

Anokhe Lal

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Prateek Rathee, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kapil Bansal, DAG Haryana.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion was issued to the respondents on 06.11.2019 in this petition. The petitioner is seeking parole to meet the family.

Learned State counsel had been asked to seek instructions as to why the parole application dated 26.08.2019 has not been decided. Mr. Bansal puts in appearance but carries no instructions with him, upon which Mr. Rathee submits that instead of wasting further time awaiting reply, this petition may be disposed of with the direction to the respondents i.e. Jail Superintendent, District Magistrate and Commissioner to take up the parole application and decide it within a reasonable time frame as it is the duty of the authorities to decide parole/furlough applications in the time-line set in the instructions of

Haryana Government dated 15.12.2016.

Accordingly, this petition is disposed of with the directions as above. In view of the delay already caused by inaction, it appears fair and just to this Court that the authorities would decide the application within 14 days from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order.

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

14.11.2019
kv

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No