

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

110

**Civil Revision No.7010 of 2019.
Date of Decision: 05.11.2019.**

Pardeep

....Petitioner.

Versus

Sangeeta

....Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LALIT BATRA

Present: Mr. Pawan Attri, Advocate for petitioner-Pardeep.

Lalit Batra, J. (Oral)

This revision petition has been moved at the instance of petitioner-Pardeep impugning the legality of order dated 24.05.2019 (Annexure P-3) rendered by trial Court in HMA Petition No.80 of 2019 (2017) titled "Pardeep vs. Sangeeta", in terms of which, evidence of petitioner has been closed by Court order.

Learned counsel for the petitioner at the very outset submits that though issues were framed in the above said petition on 20.04.2018 but most of the time adjournments were being sought at the instance of respondent and for the said reason alone, petitioner could not avail requisite opportunities to conclude his evidence.

Petitioner has reproduced all the miscellaneous orders of trial Court in the instant revision petition itself. Further, learned counsel for the petitioner has shown to this Court certified copies of those miscellaneous

different dates of hearing clearly reveals that most of the time learned counsel for the respondent (Sangeeta) sought adjournments and as such petitioner could not get the requisite opportunities to conclude his evidence. When requisite effective opportunities have not been provided to the petitioner by the trial Court to conclude his evidence, in this scenario impugned order dated 24.05.2019, in terms of which, evidence of the petitioner was closed by Court order, is set aside and resultantly petitioner is afforded one more effective opportunity to conclude his evidence before the trial Court.

In these terms, instant petition is allowed accordingly.

(LALIT BATRA)
JUDGE

05.11.2019
jitender

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No

Whether Reportable : Yes/ No