

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP No. 3708 of 2019
Date of decision: 9.12.2019

Dr. A. C. Bajaj and others

.. Petitioners

v.

Anirudh Tiwari and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Rajesh Bhatheja and Mr. H. S. Dhindsa,
Advocate for the petitioners.
Ms. Deepali Puri, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab.

...

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J. (Oral)

This order shall dispose of COCP Nos. 3708 and 4047 of 2019,
as the issue involved in both the petitions is similar.

A bunch of writ petitions filed was allowed by this Court on
24.5.2017 directing that Non-Practising Allowance be included in the basic
pay of pre-1.1.2006 retirees. It was further directed that this relief be given
to all similarly situated employees, however, the arrears were restricted to
38 months.

The grievance raised in the present petitions is that the
petitioners are similarly situated and needful should be done in their case as
well.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from

Punjab submits that the matter is being processed and is pending with the Finance Department. He further submits that within 10 weeks from today, the due amount will be credited in the accounts of the petitioners.

In view of the above, the contempt petitions are disposed of.

In case, the statement made today in Court is not adhered to, the petitioners shall be at liberty to revive the contempt petition.

The respondents shall also supply details of amount found due to the petitioners. In case of any grievance against the said calculation, the petitioners shall be at liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

9.12.2019
mk

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No