

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-47108-2019 (O&M)

Date of decision: 16.12.2019

Jagdeep Singh

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. K.D. Sachdeva, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Jagmohan Ghumman, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Raghav Goyal Chandiwalla, Advocate
for the complainant.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.270 dated 14.10.2019 under Section 306 IPC, registered at Police Station City Sri Muktsar Sahib, District Sri Muktsar Sahib.

While granting interim bail to the petitioner, following order was passed by this Court on 05.11.2019: -

“...Learned counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that as per allegations in the FIR, the petitioner has allegedly taken bricks from the brick kiln of deceased and the same pertains to the years 2013-2014 and 2014-2015 and the petitioner had made a payment of Rs.9.00 lacs by way of cheque. It is further submitted that the FIR gives details of three incidents, on account of which the deceased was got disturbed and depressed. Learned counsel

further submits that at the first instance, the allegations are against the petitioner, as it is stated in the FIR that on account of construction of a water channel of the department in PSTC, some payment was due and again it is stated that the deceased-father of the complainant had to take some amount from the persons of R.K. Furniture. It is also submitted that there is no direct allegation against the petitioner that he acted in a manner, which abated the deceased to commit suicide...”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in pursuance of the aforesaid order, the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for any further investigation.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Balwinder Singh and assisted by learned counsel for the complainant, has opposed the prayer for bail on the ground that there are direct allegations against the petitioner. However, he has not disputed the fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Without commenting anything on merits of the case and in view of the fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 05.11.2019 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

[ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN]
JUDGE

16.12.2019
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No