

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH.**

**(273)**

**CWP-8199-2017**

**Date of Decision: March 29, 2019**

**Krishan Lal**

**.. Petitioner**

**Versus**

**State of Punjab and others**

**.. Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

Present: Mr. R.K. Arora, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Mehardeep Singh, Addl. A.G., Punjab,  
for respondents No. 1 and 2.

Mr. Vikas Chatrath, Advocate, for respondent No.3.

**HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)**

In the present writ petition, the grievance raised by the petitioner is against the impugned notice of recovery dated 15.02.2017 whereby, the respondents started proceedings for recovering an amount of Rs.68,119/-. The petitioner challenged the said order on the ground that the petitioner has already retired on 31.01.2000 and after re-fixing the pay, the excess amount was being recovered after retirement which was not permissible.

While issuing notice of motion, vide order dated 12.05.2017, the recovery in pursuance to the impugned order was stayed by this Court.

Though, no written statement has been filed on behalf of respondent No.3, but learned counsel for respondent No.3 states that whatever the amount was recovered before 12.05.2017, has already been refunded back to the petitioner and no recovery henceforth will be made in pursuance to the impugned order.

Learned counsel for respondent No.3 states that the said statement is being made in view of the information received by him through email from the respondents.

Keeping in view the undertaking given on behalf of counsel for respondent No.3, learned counsel for the petitioner states that there is no further grievance left to be redressed and he will not press the writ petition any further.

In view of the above, the present writ petition is disposed of as not pressed.

**March 29, 2019**  
*harsha*

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No  
Whether reportable: Yes/No