

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

210)

CRM-M-2916-2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 12.12.2019

Sandeep Jhanb

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Dinesh Arora, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Navdeep Singh, AAG, Haryana.

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the State, as also for the petitioner, are *ad idem* that the two witnesses who were to be produced before the trial court, i.e. Naveen Chopra and Rajiv Kapoor, have appeared and testified.

That being so, this petition has been actually rendered infructuous, though “technically” the impugned orders were never set aside, by which examination of the aforesaid witnesses had been declined by the trial court. They now having been examined, pursuant to orders of this court, those orders of the trial court itself also have been rendered infructuous and are deemed to have not been passed.

Disposed of accordingly.

12.12.2019

vcgarg/dinesh

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes

Whether reportable: No