

**CRM-M-47057-2019 and
CRM-M-50309-2019**

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-47057-2019
Date of Decision: 20.12.2019**

Ramandeep Singh Sidhu @ Bhau Sidhu

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CRM-M-50309-2019

Bahadur Singh

....Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

**Present: Mr. Ferry Sofat, Advocate,
for the petitioner (in CRM-M-47057-2019).**

**Mr. Ramandeep Singh Gill, Advocate, for
for the petitioner (in CRM-M-50309-2019).**

Mr. Ajay Pal Singh Gill, D.A.G., Punjab.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Notice of motion having been issued in these petitions on two different dates, with the petitioners having been ordered to be admitted to interim bail upon them joining investigation, learned State counsel on instructions from the police official who is present in Court today to assist him, submits that they have joined investigation.

However, he submits that the petitioners names were actually disclosed by those who were apprehended at the spot (as per the case of the investigating agency), carrying 266 grams of heroin, while they were travelling in a car, with (again as per the case of the investigating agency), 03 pistols and a .12 bore gun having been recovered from those persons.

It not so far having been found that the guns belong to the petitioners, without making any comment on the actual merits of the case, with the allegations against the petitioners being that they were also a part of the same party as had been apprehended, but that being so only on a disclosure statement stated to have been made by one of those persons, these petitions are allowed with the order dated 02.11.2019 passed (in CRM-M-47057 of 2019), and the one dated 27.11.2019 (in CRM-M-50309-2019), made absolute.

At this stage, counsel for the petitioner (in CRM-M-50309-2019, i.e. Bahadur Singh), submits that in fact he was subsequently also implicated in another FIR on 28.11.2019 at the time when he had gone to appear before a Court in Roopnagar.

Obviously, the facts of that case not being before this Court, no comment can be made at all in that regard.

December 20, 2019
nitin

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Yes
No.