

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

116

CRM-M-47056 of 2019

Date of decision:16.11.2019

Irshad

... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH.

Present: Mr.Arun Duggal, Advocate, for
Mr.Abhimanyu Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner

...

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner restricts his prayer to a direction being issued to respondent no.2 to look into the matter and to decide the representation stated to have been made by the petitioner on 22.8.2019 (copy Annexure P-2).

Consequently, without making any comment on the actual merits of the case, for or against the petitioner, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the Superintendent of Police, Nuh, i.e. respondent no.2, to hear the petitioner as regards his grievance and to take action if any warranted as per law, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

If the Superintendent of Police, comes to a conclusion that no action is required to be taken by the police, he would pass an order giving reasons therein.

16.11.2019

pk

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether Reportable

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)

JUDGE

Yes/No

Yes/No