

215+220+221

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision: 20.12.2019

1. COCP No.3677 of 2019

M/s Anil Kumar, Contractor

Petitioner

Versus

A. Venu Prasad, IAS, Principal Secretary to Government of Punjab,
Local Bodies Department and others

Respondents

2. COCP No.4024 of 2019

M/s Parkash Chand Goyal, Contractor

Petitioners

Versus

A. Venu Prasad, IAS, Principal Secretary to Government of Punjab,
Local Bodies Department and others

Respondents

3. COCP No.4034 of 2019

M/s Rajindra Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.

Petitioner

Versus

A. Venu Prasad, IAS, Principal Secretary to Government of Punjab
and others

Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. R.K. Girdhar, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Deepali Puri Sandhu, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Ms. Anu Chatrath, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Nishant Maini, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

These three petitions are being disposed of by a common order as similar issue is involved.

The petitioners filed writ petitions raising grievances that in spite of executing the work, certain payments are not made by the Municipal Council. The writ petitions were disposed of with the directions to decide legal notice of the petitioners.

The contempt petitions have been filed pleading that needful is not done by the respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) submits that legal notices have been decided and claim rejected. He disputes the rejection of the claim stating that facts have not been appreciated properly. He seeks liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law against order passed rejecting the claim.

The contempt petitions are disposed of with liberty as prayed for.

**[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE**

December 20, 2019

pankaj baweja

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|----------|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes / No |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | Yes / No |