

## IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB &amp; HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

115

CRM-M-47049 of 2019

Date of decision:16.11.2019

Truck Union Bhadson, Nabha

... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH.

Present: Mr.Sameer Sachdeva, Advocate, for the petitioner

...

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner restricts his prayer to a direction being issued to respondent no.2 to look into the matter and to decide the representation stated to have been made by the petitioner Union on 15.1.2019 (copy Annexure P-3).

Consequently, without making any comment on the actual merits of the case, for or against the petitioner, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the SSP, Patiala, i.e. respondent no.2, to hear the petitioner union as regards their grievance and to take action if any warranted as per law, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

If the SSP, Patiala, comes to a conclusion that no action is required to be taken by the police, he would pass an order giving reasons therein.

16.11.2019

pk

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether Reportable

( AMOL RATTAN SINGH )

JUDGE

Yes/No

Yes/No