

211 **RA-CW-15-2014**
 CM-156-CWP-2014
 CM-157-CWP-2014 in CWP-2209-1995

TILAK RAJ SAWHNEY
V/S
STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS

Present: Ms. Neha Jain, Advocate,
 for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Mohan Gupta, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Review application has been filed by the petitioner for reviewing the order dated 20.08.2013 by which the writ petition was allowed to the extent that the recovery which was being made from the petitioner, was set aside. In the review application, review-petitioner is contending that apart from challenging the recovery which was being done from the petitioner, petitioner had also sought relief of quashing of order dated 08.04.1993 (Annexure P-4) and order dated 12.12.1994 (Annexure P-12), on which prayer, no findings have been recorded by the Hon'ble Court while passing the order on 20.08.2013.

A bare perusal of the order passed by this Court on 20.08.2013 clearly shows that it has been recorded by the Court that no other point was urged at the time of hearing.

Learned counsel for the review-applicant is unable to dispute that the said fact recorded by this Court is incorrect in any manner. Once, a relief which though prayed in the writ petition, has not been urged at the time of arguments, it is deemed that the same is not pressed and is given up by the counsel at the time of hearing and no review is maintainable for re-agitating the issue, once the writ petition already stands decided.

Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner be given liberty to approach the respondents in respect of the order dated 08.04.1993 (Annexure P-4) and order dated 12.12.1994 (Annexure P-12). This Court has not restrained the petitioner from approaching the respondents if he wishes to do so.

Keeping in view the above, no ground to interfere with the order dated 20.08.2013 is made out. Review application is accordingly dismissed.

July 05, 2019
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE