

114

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-1015-2019

Date of Decision: 13.11.2019

PARSIN KAUR

.. Petitioner

Vs.

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Tarun Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Ramandeep, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sukhdeep Parmar, DAG, Haryana.

...

Manoj Bajaj, J. (Oral)

Petitioner has filed this petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of Habeas Corpus for directing the official respondents to trace out and produce the daughter of the petitioner, namely, Balwinder Kaur.

On 31.10.2019, learned State counsel was directed to verify the facts as pleaded in the petition. A short reply by way of affidavit of Kishori Lal, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Guhla, District Jind, on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3-State has been filed, wherein it is stated:

“4. That on 11.11.2019, on receipt of telephonic information from Gurugram police, missing Smt. Balwinder Kaur was recovered from Gurugram and on the same day, her statement under Section 164 Cr.P.C. has also been recorded by the learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Kaithal. Smt. Balwinder Kaur in her statement under Section 164 Cr.P.C. has levelled allegations of physical and mental torture against her in-laws. On this, Section 346 IPC was converted into Sections 498-A, 506

and 34 IPC. Further in view of statement under Section 164 Cr.P.C. custody of Smt. Balwinder Kaur was handed over to her parents i.e. Gurmukh Singh-father and Smt. Parsin Kaur-mother-petitioner. At present, she is residing with her parents.”

In view of above, learned counsel for the petitioner states that the present petition is rendered infructuous and he does not press the same.

The petition is dismissed as not pressed.

13.11.2019
Jasmine Kaur

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No