

**178 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-31584-2019

Date of decision : 01.11.2019

Sheelu

..... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. R.S.Dhull, Advocate for the petitioner.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner is seeking indulgence of this Court for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus for issuing directions to the respondents to grant him furlough for a period of three weeks to enable him to meet his family.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has already moved an application dated 17.09.2019(Annexure P-1) before respondent No.4 to decide his case of furlough in terms of the orders passed by this Court in CWP No. 1980 of 2018, Sanjay vs. State of Haryana and others decided on 08.08.2018 (Annexure P-2) but the same has not been decided till date.

Learned counsel for petitioner submits that the petitioner will be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent No.4-Superintendent, District Jail, Rohtak, to consider his application(Annexure P-1) in view of Annexure P-2 within a stipulated period.

Without going into the merits and demerits of the claim of the

petitioner, I deem it appropriate to direct respondent No.4, to consider the application dated 17.09.2019 (Annexure P-1) in view of Annexure P-2, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and shall pass a speaking order thereon after affording opportunity of hearing to petitioner, failing which, respondent No.4, shall be liable to pay costs of ₹25,000/- to petitioner.

This condition of imposing costs is only to prevent petitioner to run from pillar to post and avail remedy of contempt in case of non-compliance of directions issued by this Court.

The writ petition stands disposed of with the above direction.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

01.11.2019
sunita

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No