

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

114

CRM-M-46851-2019

DATE OF DECISION: 02.11.2019

HARSIMRAT SINGH

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Vikas Bali, Advocate for the petitioner.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has preferred an application for releasing the vehicle, which was containing 116 refrigerators, before the trial Court. He further contends that the trial Court may be directed to consider and decide the application expeditiously as in the event of delay, irreparable harm may be caused to the refrigerators.

Issue notice to the respondent.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Senior DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent.

In view of the limited prayer of the petitioner, the petition is disposed of with a direction to the trial Court to consider and decide the application of the petitioner in accordance with law expeditiously.

**(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE**

02.11.2019.

SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No