

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.31789 of 2019 (O&M)

Date of Decision.02.11.2019

Surender Singh

...Petitioner

Vs

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

Present: Mr. A.K. Viridi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

-.-

AMIT RAWAL J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the writ petition is for issuing direction to respondents for grant of pay/salary of the post of AE/SDO along with consequential benefits arising out of correct fixation of pay/salary from 21.11.2013 (Annexure P-1) i.e. the date from which petitioner was posted against the post of SDO/AE (CDC).

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he will be satisfied if the representation dated 08.10.2019 (Annexure P-10) is decided by respondent No.3-Superintending Engineer/Admn, Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam, Vidyut Sadan, Vidyut Nagar, Hisar in terms of Annexure P-8 within a stipulated period.

Without going into the merits and demerits of the claim of the petitioner, I deem it appropriate to direct respondent No.3-Superintending Engineer/Admn, Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam, Vidyut Sadan, Vidyut Nagar, Hisar to consider the representation dated 08.10.2019 (Annexure P-10) in terms of Annexure P-8 within a period of two months

from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and pass a speaking order thereon, failing which respondent No.3 shall be liable to pay costs of ₹25,000/- to the petitioner. This condition of imposing costs is only to prevent petitioner running from pillar to post and avail remedy of contempt in case of non-compliance of directions issued by this Court.

The writ petition stands disposed of with the above direction.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

November 02, 2019

Pankaj*

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes

Whether reportable No