

CWP-32171-2019(O&M)
Date of decision-06.11.2019

Gram Panchayat Chhabarwal

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Madan Lal Saini, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Anju Arora, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus directing respondent Nos.1 to 3 to get an enquiry conducted into the allegations levelled against Ex Sarpanch in the representations dated 12.07.2019 and 17.07.2019 (Annexures P-1 & P-2 respectively) which are duly supported by affidavit dated 17.07.2019 (Annexure P-3).

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage, he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2-Director, Department of Rural Development and Panchayats Punjab, to consider and decide the representation dated 12.07.2019 (Annexure P-1) expeditiously.

A complete set of paper book has been handed over to Ms. Anju Arora, Addl. A.G., Punjab in the Court today.

Heard.

In view of the above, without advertng to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to No.2-Director, Department of Rural Development and Panchayats Punjab, to consider and decide the representation dated 12.07.2019 (Annexure P-1) in accordance with law within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the judgment. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the request made by the petitioner is admissible, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to it, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the request made by the petitioner is not admissible, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

06.11.2019*ps-I***(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :

Yes

No

Whether Reportable :

Yes

No