

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-46933-2019

Date of Decision: 03.12.2019

Nitin

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of U.T., Chandigarh

.....Respondent

Present: Mr. Devinder Kumar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Harnaresh Singh Gill, J.

The present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. is for grant of concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No. 63 dated 4.5.2019 under Section 394 IPC, registered at Police Station, Sector 19, Chandigarh.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner states that the petitioner was not named in the FIR, but he has now been indicted in the present FIR during the course of investigation on the basis of the statements of accused Suraj Singh, Vikas and Robin, whereas the petitioner has nothing to do with the occurrence in question.

However, I do not find any merit in the submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioner.

The Additional Sessions Judge, Chandigarh, while declining the anticipatory bail application of the petitioner has

noticed that it emerged during the course of investigation that the black colour car which was used in the robbery had been arranged by the accused-petitioner and that the said car is yet to be recovered from the petitioner.

As the allegations contained against the petitioner are serious and the petitioner is required for custodial interrogation, I do not find any ground to grant the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

In view of the above, the present petition is dismissed.

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

03.12.2019
ds

Whether Speaking/ Reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

Yes/ No
Yes/ No