

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**RSA No.6403 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision.08.03.2019**

Mangal Singh and others

...Appellants

Vs

Chanchal Singh and others

...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. J.S. Gill, Advocate
for the appellants.

-.-

AMIT RAWAL J. (ORAL)

The short point involved in the present appeal is whether the plaintiffs could succeed in claiming possession of the suit property while denying alleged exchange deed on behalf of their father, the answer would be 'no', as factum of registered exchange deed dated 16.02.1957 was not disclosed in the plaint and came to light in the defence of defendants. Plaintiffs failed to believe the aforementioned document.

In such circumstances, dismissal of the suit was inevitable. I do not find any illegality and perversity in the concurrent finding of fact, much less, no substantial question of law arises for determination by this Court. No ground for interference is made out. Resultantly, the second appeal is dismissed.

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**

March 08, 2019

Pankaj*

Whether Reasoned/Speaking Yes

Whether Reportable No