

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

RSA No.6335 of 2017

Date of Decision: March 12, 2019

Jaswant Singh

...Appellant

Versus

Ranjit Singh (since deceased) through L.Rs & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. Jasbir S. Dhaliwal, Advocate,
for the appellant.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

The short point involved in the present Regular Second Appeal is whether the suit of the plaintiffs for claiming ownership by way of extinguishment of rights by efflux of time in the absence of any time line mentioned in the mortgage deed, the answer would be 'No' in view of the ratio decidendi culled out by Hon'ble the Supreme Court in **Singh Ram (D) through L.Rs Versus Sheo Ram & others, 2014 (4) RCR (Civil) 179** and by the Full Bench of this Court in **Ram Kishan & others Versus Sheo Ram & others, 2008(1) RCR (Civil) 334.**

The argument of the learned counsel for the appellant with regard to the entry made in the revenue record qua redemption of the suit land in 1962-63 would be a determining factor regarding the possession.

In my view, the concurrent findings cannot be interfered with in the absence of any fraud led or made in the plaint. No ground for interference is made out, much less involvement of any substantial question of law. Resultantly, the appeal is dismissed.

March 12, 2019
ramesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No