

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CM-13614, 13617-CII-2019 in/and
RSA No.5923 of 2017(O&M)
Date of Decision: October 31, 2019

Anju

...Appellant

Versus

Mukesh

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Naveen Gupta, Advocate for
Mr. Mukesh Rao, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. Surinder Gandhi, Advocate
for the respondent.

Appellant and GPA of the respondent in person.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

CM-13617-C-2019

Allowed as prayed for.

Compromise deed is taken on record as Annexure R-1.

CM-13614-C-2019

This is an application for preponing the hearing of the appeal,
which is now listed for 10.01.2020.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is
allowed and the appeal is taken up for hearing today.

RSA-5923-2017

Both the counsel for the parties submit that the parties have compromised the matter vide Compromise Deed Annexure R-1 and the appeal may be disposed of in terms of the compromise deed.

Both the parties are present in the court today.

Appellant-defendant Anju is identified by Mr. Naveen Gupta, Advocate who is appearing on behalf of Mr. Mukesh Rao, Advocate, while submitting that he has been instructed by Mr. Mukesh Rao, Advocate to this effect whereas, the GPA of the respondent-plaintiff namely Raj Kumar, who is also the husband of the respondent-plaintiff, has been identified by Mr. Surinder Gandhi, Advocate.

On the asking of the court, both the parties admit to the factum of entering into Compromise Deed Annexure R-1 and submit that the appeal may be disposed of in terms thereof.

In view of the above, the appeal stands disposed of in terms of the compromise deed Annexure R-1 and the same be made part of the decree sheet as well.

October 31, 2019

vijay saini

**(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No