

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 46186 of 2019
Date of Decision:-12.12.2019**

Gurdeep Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Gautam Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. Satnam Singh Gill, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. A.P.S. Gill, DAG, Punjab.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH J.(Oral)

It is seen that vide an order dated 30.10.2019, though no notice of motion was issued, learned State counsel was asked to take instructions and assist the Court on the issue.

On the next date of hearing, i.e. November 05, 2019, learned State counsel sought time to file a reply.

The contention of learned counsel being that his licensed weapons were taken away by respondent No.4, (though admittedly, at some point, he had loaned such licensed weapons for shooting of some movie), without going into the merits of the case, the SSP, Police District Khanna, is directed to go into the matter and see what action, if any is warranted as per law, on the complaint of the petitioner, within a period of one month from

the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

If the SSP comes to the conclusion that no such action is warranted on the representation/complaint made by the petitioner (to the Inspector General of Police, Jalandhar Range, Jalandhar - copy Annexure P-2), he would pass an order, giving reasons therein.

It is to be further noticed that learned State counsel, on instructions from SI Devinderpal, has submitted that one weapon, i.e. a .12 bore barrel gun/rifle, belonging to the petitioner, has been kept in custody in the armoury of the CIA staff at Khanna.

Disposed of.

December 12, 2019
Vijay Asija/dinesh

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes / No
Yes / No