

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-46550 of 2019
Date of Decision: 25.11.2019

Sanjeev @ Sanju

..... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. S. S. Dinarpur, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Navdeep Singh, A.A.G. Haryana.

Mr. Ravinder Bangar, Advocate,
for the complainant.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

On 01.11.2019, learned counsel for the petitioner had submitted that even in the CCTV footage stated to have been captured with regard to the occurrence, the petitioner was not shown to be present.

Today, learned State counsel does not deny the aforesaid contention but submits that the petitioner had made a reconnaissance of the area prior to that.

However, no CCTV footage with regard to that is stated to have been seen by the investigating agency.

Consequently, without making any comment on the actual merits of the case, with it also not being denied that the petitioner has joined investigation, the petition is allowed with the order dated 01.11.2019 made absolute.

November 25, 2019
dinesh

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	No