

**Sr. No.205 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-31291-2019
Date of decision-25.11.2019**

Sonia and another

....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR

Present: Mr.S.K.Tripathi, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr.Rajesh Gaur, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr.Sanjeev Kumar Birla, Advocate for respondents
No. 5 to 8.

SANJAY KUMAR, J.(ORAL)

Through this Civil Writ petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioners are seeking a direction to the police authorities of the State of Haryana to provide protection to them.

The petitioners claim to have gotten married to each other and photographs of their marriage have been placed on file in evidence thereof.

Pertinent to note, the first petitioner, Sonia, is aged about 17 years, as her Senior Secondary Examination Certificate dated 15.05.2019 reflects her date of birth as '30.07.2002'.

By order of this Court dated 29.10.2019, notice of motion was issued to the police authorities. Mr.Sanjeev Kumar Birla, learned counsel entered appearance on behalf of respondents No. 5 to 8, who are the relatives of the first petitioner, Sonia, and filed his Power of Attorney. At his request, the matter was adjourned for 25.11.2019.

Today, the reply filed by the police authorities is taken on record. Though, the petitioners were directed to be present before this Court on the next date of hearing, i.e., 25.11.2019, they are not present in Court.

Mr.S.K.Tripathi, learned counsel representing the petitioners, informs the Court that he is not in contact with the petitioners as on date.

Perusal of the affidavit filed by S.I. Krishan Pal, the Station House Officer at PS City Dadri, the third respondent, reflects that it is the stand of the police authorities that the petitioners neither approached the police for providing protection nor did they submit any representation in that regard. However, in pursuance to the notice of motion order of this Court, the petitioners were approached by the police of PS City Dadri and the statement of first petitioner, Sonia, was recorded. She is said to have stated that she left her parental home out of her own free will and performed her marriage with the second petitioner, Monu, without any pressure. She further stated that she is presently not facing any threat from her family.

As the petitioners did not choose to appear before this Court despite a direction in that regard and the first petitioner is said to have made a statement to the effect that she is not facing any threat from her family members, this Court finds no grounds to entertain this writ petition or grant any relief.

The Civil Writ Petition is accordingly dismissed for non-prosecution.
No order as to costs.

(SANJAY KUMAR)
JUDGE

25.11.2019

Anjal

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No