

150

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-997-2019

Date of decision-24.10.2019

Shaukin

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Aditya Partap Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J. (Oral)

Petitioner-Shaukin has filed this petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of a writ in the nature of habeas corpus for release of the alleged detainees (20 in number) mentioned in para 2 of the petition, who are alleged to be in the illegal custody of private respondents.

During the course of hearing, it is not disputed by learned counsel for the petitioner that the alleged detainees entered into a contract with respondent Nos.4 and 5 for manufacturing bricks at the brick kiln. He is unable to substantiate his argument that the alleged detainees are in illegal custody of respondent Nos.4 and 5 particularly when applicant was also engaged by respondent Nos.4 and 5 for the said purpose of manufacturing bricks.

The apprehension of the alleged threat appears to be misconceived particularly when the petitioner is able to move freely. The pleadings as contained in the petition do not inspire confidence, therefore, this Court is not inclined to exercise the extra ordinary writ jurisdiction under Article 226 Constitution of India.

Dismissed.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

24.10.2019
vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No