

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

257

CRM-M-46089-2019

Date of decision : 04.12.2019

Jacky @ Jacky Pardi and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

.. Respondent

CORAM :HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Naveen Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. N.K. Banka, DAG, Punjab.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

The petitioners are seeking regular bail in FIR No.224, dated 16.09.2017, under Sections 457 and 380 IPC, registered at Police Station Sadar Ludhiana, District Ludhiana.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners are not named in the FIR, wherein it is alleged that jewellery and other articles had been stolen from the house of the complainant by unknown persons. The petitioners have been arraigned as accused on the statement of one-Jagdish Singh, who was an accused in FIR No.180 dated 29.07.2018 registered at Police Station Sadar Ludhiana. He also contends that there is no *prima facie* evidence which would connect the petitioners with the commission of the offence. The petitioners are in custody for over four months.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from ASI Om Parkash, is not in a position to controvert the submissions of learned counsel for the petitioners that there is no *prima facie* evidence which would connect the petitioners with the commission of the offence.

Heard.

In view of the submissions of the learned counsel for the petitioners especially when the petitioners being in custody for over four months, I deem it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioners.

Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioners are ordered to be released on regular bail on their furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

December 04, 2019

sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No