

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-46062-2019 (O&M)

Date of decision : 29.10.2019

M/s Anmol Enterprises, Commission Agent

...Petitioner

Versus

Rajesh Rana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Arun Bansal, Advocate and
Mr. Anubhav Bansal, Advocate for the petitioner.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

Keeping in view the facts of the case, this Court vide order dated 30.09.2019 passed in CRM-M-33276-2019, permitted the accused to get the handwriting on the various columns in the body of the cheque compared from a Handwriting and Finger Print Expert.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the Court has granted liberty to the petitioner to get compared and examined handwriting mentioned on the cheque in question with the specimen handwriting of the accused. He submits that accused is at liberty to examine the Expert. However, the Court committed an error in granting liberty to the petitioner to get compared and examined handwriting mentioned on the cheque in question which is in custody of the Court.

Dispute is whether accused has filled up various columns in the

body of the cheque or not. Aforesaid cheque is in custody of the Court. If accused wants opinion of the Handwriting Expert, it is necessary to permit examination of the original cheque by the Handwriting Expert. Normally, for this purpose, Handwriting Expert with the prior permission of the Court, is permitted to inspect the file and take photographs.

In the present case, order passed by the Court amounts to the same. Hence, no ground to interfere.

Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed.

29.10.2019

Pawan

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No