

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

RSA No.5401 of 2017

Date of Decision: March 05, 2019

Gurcharan Singh

...Appellant

Versus

Pritam Singh

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

**Present: Mr. Rai Singh Chauhan, Advocate,
for the appellant.**

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

The claim in the present Regular Second Appeal is for declaration of ownership and possession of the suit land measuring 59 kanals 6 marlas allegedly purchased by Jaswant Singh, brother of the plaintiff, in favour of Pritam Singh defendant, who was a name vendor. In fact, after the promulgation of the Benami Transaction (Prohibition) Act, 1988, such benami transaction is not permissible except for the exception carved out which is not proved in the present case.

For the reasons stated above, I do not find any illegality or perversity in the concurrent findings of fact. No ground for interference is made out, much less involvement of any substantial question of law. Resultantly, the appeal is dismissed.

**March 05, 2019
ramesh**

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable:**

**Yes/No
Yes/No**